

**TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING
OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN
IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR**



RESEARCH PAPER

**Submitted as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for Getting
Bachelor Degree of Education in English Department**

by :

DITA INDAH CAHYANI

A320140052

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION
SCHOOL OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURAKARTA
2018**

APPROVAL

**TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING
OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN
IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR**

RESEARCH PAPER


by:

DITA INDAH CAHYANI

A320140052

**Approved to be examined by consultant
School of Teacher Training and Education
Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta**

Consultant,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large loop and a series of strokes, is written over the printed name of the consultant.

Prof. Dr. Endang Fauziati, M.Hum.

NIK. 274

ACCEPTANCE

TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING
OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN
IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR

by

DITA INDAH CAHYANI

A320140052

Accepted and approved by the board of Examiners

School of Teacher and Education

Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta

on July 2018

Team of Examiners

1. Prof. Dr. Endang Fauziati, M.Hum.




(Chair Person)

2. Mauly Halwat Hikmat ,Ph. D

(Member I)

3. Rini Fatmawati, M. Pd

(Member II)

()
()
()



Dean,

Prof. Dr. Harun Joko Prayitno, M.Hum.

NIP. 19650428B199303 1001

PRONOUNCEMENT

I, undersigned below,

Name : Dita Indah Cahyani

Student Number : A320140052

Program : Department of English Education

Research Title : **TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN
TEACHING-WRITING OF SMP
MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN IN 2017/2018
ACADEMIC YEAR**

I truthfully testify that there is no plagiarism of the previous literary work which has been raised to obtain bachelor degree of a university, nor there are opinions or masterpieces which have been written or published by others, except those in which the writing are referred in the manuscript and mentioned in literary review and bibliography. Therefore, if it is proved that there are some untrue statements in this testimony, I will be fully responsible.

Surakarta, July 2018

The Researcher



DITA INDAH CAHYANI

A320140052

MOTTOS

“Do the best and pray. God will take care of the rest.”

“Indeed, Allah will not change the condition of a people until they change what is in themselves.”

Qur'an, 13:11.

DEDICATION

In the name of Allah, I dedicate my research paper to:

- My dearest father and mother,

Joko Siswoyo and Sulasmi

- My beloved brothers,

Andri, Didik and Wisnu

- My lovely friends,

Yuni, Lilis, Evi, Syaiful and Farhan

Thanks for the prayers, support, and endless love. I hope Allah blesses and gathers us in His Heaven.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb

Alhamdulillahirabbil'alamin. All praises belong to Allah SWT because of His blessing that has given to the researcher, so that the researcher could complete the research paper entitled **TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR.** In conducting this study, the researcher realizes that this study would never be possible without others' help, therefore the researcher would like to thank to the people who have personally supported the research over month and during the arrangement of the study. The researcher would like to express the deepest gratitude and appreciation to the people in the following list :

1. Thanks to Allah SWT, because Allah is my Everything.
2. Prof. Dr. Harun Joko Prayitno, M.Hum., as the Dean of School of Teacher Training and Education, Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
3. Mauly Halwat Hikmat, Ph. D., as the Chief of Department of English Education, Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
4. Prof. Dr. Endang Fauziati, M. Hum., as the supervisor of the researcher.
5. Mrs. Aryati Prasetyarini, M. Pd., who has given a lot of uncountable lesson and supports during the study.
6. All lecturers of Department of English Education who have shared their great and useful knowledge and experiences to the researcher.

**TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING
OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN
IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR**

ABSTRAK

Dita Indah Cahyani, A320140052. **TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR.** Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, 2018.

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mendeskripsikan 1) tipe-tipe koreksi yang digunakan oleh guru, 2) fungsi dari koreksi, dan 3) tipe koreksi yang paling dominan digunakan oleh guru. Dalam mencapai tujuan, peneliti menggunakan metode penelitian kualitatif untuk mengumpulkan dan menganalisis data. Penelitian ini bertempat di SMP Muhammadiyah 1 Sragen, untuk memenuhi penemuan, peneliti mengambil 94 siswa sebagai subyek penelitian. Data dari penelitian ini adalah semua informasi mengenai teknik umpan balik korektif yang digunakan oleh guru untuk memperbaiki kesalahan siswa. Peneliti mengumpulkan data melalui observasi di ruang kelas, mewawancarai guru, dan mengumpulkan lembar kerja siswa yang telah di koreksi oleh guru. Hasil dari penelitian ini menunjukkan 1) pada koreksi lisan, guru menggunakan 4 jenis koreksi, sedangkan pada koreksi tertulis guru menggunakan koreksi secara langsung dan tidak langsung (tanpa kode), 2) ada beberapa fungsi dari koreksi, pada koreksi oral (sebagai pedoman manual untuk siswa, memberi motivasi melalui pujian, membantu siswa dalam menganalisis kesalahan), dan pada koreksi tertulis (sebagai pedoman manual untuk siswa, memberi motivasi melalui pujian, membantu siswa dalam menganalisis kesalahan), 3) tipe koreksi yang paling banyak digunakan pada oral adalah permintaan klarifikasi dan pada koreksi tertulis adalah koreksi tidak langsung.

Kata Kunci: Umpan balik, Tipe-tipe umpan balik, kemampuan menulis

**TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING
OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN
IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR**

ABSTRACT

Dita Indah Cahyani, A320140052. **TEACHER CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN TEACHING WRITING OF SMP MUHAMMADIYAH 1 SRAGEN IN 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR.** Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, 2018.

This research aimed to describe 1) the types of corrective feedback used by the teacher, 2) the functions of corrective feedback, and 3) the dominant type of corrective feedback used by the teacher. In achieving the objectives, the researcher used qualitative research method to collect and analyze the data. This research took place at SMP Muhammadiyah 1 Sragen. The researcher took 96 students as the subject of this research to fulfill the finding. The data of this research were all of informations regarding to the corrective feedback techniques which were used by the teacher to correct the students' errors. The researcher collected the data through observation in the classroom, interview with the teacher and collecting the students' worksheets which were corrected by the teacher. The result of this research showed that 1) The teacher used 4 types of oral corrective feedback and 2 types of written corrective feedback, namely direct and indirect feedback (uncoded), 2) there were several functions of corrective feedback, oral corrective feedback (as manual directive to the students, providing motivation by compliment, to assisting the students to analyze their mistakes), and written corrective (as manual directive to the students, providing motivation by compliment, to assisting the students in analyzing their mistakes), 3) The dominant type of oral corrective feedback was clarification request, while the dominant type of written corrective feedback was indirect corrective feedback.

Keywords: *corrective feedback, types of corrective feedback, writing skill*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
APPROVAL	ii
ACCEPTANCE	iii
PRONOUNCEMENT	iv
MOTTO	v
DEDICATION.....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	vii
ABSTRAK	viii
ABSTRACT.....	ix
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	x
LIST OF TABLE	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xiii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
A. Background of the Study.....	1
B. Limitation of the Study	4
C. Problem Statements.....	4
D. Objective of the Study.....	4
E. Benefit of the Study	5
F. Research Paper Organization	5
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
A. Previous Study	7
B. Underlying Theory	11
1. Corrective Feedback	11
a. The Notion of Corrective Feedback	11
b. Type of Corrective Feedback	13
1) Oral of Corrective Feedback	13
2) Written Corrective Feedback	15
c. Function of Corrective Feedback	16
d. Notion of Writing	17
e. Micro and Macro skills of Writing	18

LIST OF TABLE

Table 4.1 : Types of Oral Corrective Feedback	35
Table 4.2 : Types of Written Corrective Feedback	36

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

1. Interview Transcripts54

APPENDIX B

1. List of Students Class VII C62
2. List of Students Class VIII G63
3. List of Students Class IX A64

APPENDIX C

1. Field Note Observation at Class IX A66
2. Field Note Observation at Class VII C68

APPENDIX D

1. Photographs69

APPENDIX E

1. Students Worksheet73

CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD

A. Type of Research.....	20
B. Object of the Research	20
C. Subject of the Research.....	21
D. Data and Data Source.....	21
E. Method of Collecting Data.....	22
F. Technique for Analyzing Data	24
G. Credibility of Data.....	25

CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

A. Research Finding.....	26
B. Discussion	46

CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION, PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATION, SUGGESTION

A. Conclusion	49
B. Pedagogical Implication.....	50
C. Suggestion	51

BIBLIOGRAPHY 52

APPENDIX 54